

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/534,262	HATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rick K. Chang	3729	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rick K. Chang. (3) _____.

(2) Joe Gorski. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner maintains his rejection. Baker's components are attached to the mounting apparatus which can be replaced with Asia's caster table to allow easy switch over from one mounting operation to another different mounting operation. The subject matter of x- and y-direction moving rotory heads was disscused; however, it would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Further, there was a discussion regarding providing a plurality of mounting appartus located adjacent to each other along the same side path. This would require further consideration and/or search .